AGENCY ESTIMATE
OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

HB 313 2011 General Session
Charter School Funding Amendments

Sponsor: Representative Merlynn T. Newbold

Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Cathy Dudley/February 17, 2011

Title: MSP Budget and Property Tax Specialist

Agency Utah State Office of Education

Office: 801-538-7667   **Cell:** 801-707-0848

A. Short Form (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

- [ ] State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.
- [ ] There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- [ ] There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- [ ] There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- [ ] The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 154-157 and lines 165-188.

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.   **PQN**

For multiple appropriations

This is [   ] of [   ]

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this $50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

Currently, the calculation for the school districts contribution to the local replacement funding is 25% of certain tax revenues. This bill requires the school districts contribute 100% of those revenues incorporated over time. So the first year it will be Kindergarten students getting the full 100%, and grades 1 through 12 staying at 25%. The next year Kindergarten and first grade will get the full 100% and grades 2 through 12 will get the 25% until fiscal year 2023-24 when school districts will be contributing 100% of these certain tax revenues outlined in the bill. The charter school students' average local revenue defined in the bill is calculated to be $1,098.79 (see cell S5 on the attached spreadsheet) for FY12. The amount described in lines 165-188 is calculated to be $719.58 (see cell AI6 in the attached spreadsheet) for FY12. Using the projected enrollment numbers for FY12, the local replacement funds needed will be $44,017,499 with $14,107,305 coming from the school (continued below)

E. REVENUES

Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013

	Total	0	0	0

F. COSTS by FUND

Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
Uniform School Fund		58,736,512	
Local Revenue		14,107,305	
Total	0	72,843,817	0

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.

Expenses by Category	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
Personal Services			
Travel			
Current Expense			
DP Current Expense			
DP Capital Outlay			
Capital Outlay			
Other/Pass Thru		72,843,817	
Total	0	72,843,817	0

H. Non-State Impacts   Your estimate of how will the bill affect:

Local Governments

Because school districts will need to send part of their local revenue generated by their tax rates levied, it is probable that the districts will raise tax rates to keep up their revenues up.

Businesses

If school districts raise their taxes, businesses will see an increase in their property taxes.

Individuals

If school districts raise their taxes, individuals will see an increase in their property taxes.

2010 Version 11.09

districts. The amount described in lines 165-188 calculates to be $28,826,318. Not knowing what average daily membership, fall enrollment, and tax collections will be in the following fiscal year, it is not feasible to calculate that number at the current time. We do know, however, that charter schools continue to grow and the funds needed in FY13 will be greater than in FY12.

The totals can be found on the attached spreadsheet in columns AL through AO..

This bill eliminates the provision of choosing between the lesser of "district per pupil local revenue" or the "charter school students' average local revenue." Some districts' per pupil local revenue would have been greater than the charter school students' average local revenue, so they could end up paying more until the increment reaches the 100% limit. Some district's per pupil local revenue amount would have been smaller thereby contributing less dollars until the increment reaches 100%.

On line 193, there is a reference to an appropriation under Subsection (4)(e), but when you look at the language of that section, there is no appropriation. The appropriations are mentioned in Subsection (4)(b)(ii) and (4)(d). Does that sentence need to be changed?

DISTRICT FUNDING FOR CHARTER SCH

53A-1a-513

DISTRICT	FY 10 VOTED LEEWAY REVENUES	FY10 BOARD LEEWAY REVENUES	FY10 10% OF Basic TAX REVENUES	FY10 TORT LIABILITY REVENUES	FY10 CAPITAL OUTLAY REVENUES	FY10 VOTED CAPITAL OUTLAY REVENUES
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Alpine	$ 22,136,702	$ 5,534,175	$ 10,279,731	$ 276,709	$ 8,165,528	-
2 Beaver	1,052,122	-	321,080	5,511	307,300	-
3 Box Elder	1,765,474	1,179,010	2,956,143	176,244	5,068,522	-
4 Cache	5,398,932	1,346,015	602,360	-	777,119	-
5 Carbon	2,512,819	431,764	1,403,346	55,509	3,629,145	-
6 Daggett	-	-	124,866	-	252,285	-
7 Davis	26,695,536	6,669,472	11,486,314	1,041,003	1,217,443	-
8 Duchesne	2,046,118	737,799	1,619,595	39,136	4,440,973	-
9 Emery	2,826,664	704,670	1,032,291	38,958	1,225,623	-
10 Garfield	-	-	-	-	1,150,052	-
11 Grand	-	297,391	1,221,647	51,016	1,108,630	-
12 Granite	35,743,060	7,439,028	22,518,126	603,169	29,625,299	-
13 Iron	2,266,102	1,131,089	-	39,401	3,330,184	-
14 Jordan	23,332,451	5,904,018	1,971,394	14,760	41,798,371	-
15 Juab	318,269	318,269	322,247	59,676	1,906,433	-
16 Kane	-	732,028	668,597	3,429	1,116,043	-
17 Millard	1,600,632	402,376	1,080,007	120,247	4,008,315	-
18 Morgan	-	360,361	736,933	19,479	888,241	-
19 Nebo	7,505,766	2,501,921	6,273,568	200,154	2,996,051	-
20 No. Sanpete	983,918	245,814	231,975	-	1,051,796	-
21 No. Summit	-	492,254	744,123	36,849	1,538,556	-
22 Park City	16,065,235	-	1,528,951	37,043	5,921,484	-
23 Piute	31,823	31,823	96,959	-	36,543	-
24 Rich	652,373	107,641	256,056	6,524	327,818	-
25 San Juan	1,354,063	338,434	1,354,444	84,613	1,891,966	-
26 Sevier	823,348	548,898	1,193,185	35,658	1,387,844	-
27 So. Sanpete	859,461	215,015	200,083	53,754	-	1,289,491
28 So. Summit	1,091,844	668,753	614,754	40,579	3,364,923	-
29 Tintic	75,178	14,347	-	-	29,101	-
30 Tooele	1,865,182	1,235,220	3,647,037	311,893	361,251	-
31 Uintah	-	-	4,043,298	313,620	11,357,855	-
32 Wasatch	4,789,114	1,676,861	2,454,924	67,074	3,456,569	-
33 Washington	12,207,701	5,664,574	7,973,406	281,248	2,990,045	-
34 Wayne	-	45,905	82,243	-	467,405	-
35 Weber	8,278,122	3,204,151	3,783,532	316,026	6,996,462	-
36 Salt Lake	30,058,001	3,178,756	2,924,599	374,166	14,727,494	-
37 Ogden	4,134,651	1,333,103	2,747,411	-	5,119,251	-
38 Provo	6,636,468	1,313,481	4,708,422	308,050	4,457,372	-
39 Logan	1,737,908	817,839	2,220,433	57,249	3,299,981	-
40 Murray	5,311,797	-	1,085,994	53,576	3,054,358	-
42 Canyons	26,053,767	6,513,443	-	358,239	31,091,296	-
Total	$ 258,210,601	$ 63,335,698	$ 106,510,074	$ 5,480,562	$ 215,940,927	$ 1,289,491

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Using FY10 AFR/APR and ADM data.
2. Not using the K-3 Reading Board Leeway
3. In ADM, not using Youth in Custody.
4. Even though there were revenues listed in column 7 for Voted Capital Outlay, those districts did NOT levy a tax for that.
5. Debt Service Revenue was obtained from the FY10 Annual Financial Report.

IOOLS-FY2011-2012

53A-1a-513

DISTRICT	TOTAL REVENUE	SCHOOL DISTRICTS FY2009-10 ADM	CHARTER SCHOOLS FY2009-10 ADM	TOTAL ADM	DISTRICTS TOTAL ADM THAT HAVE STUDENTS ATTENDING CHARTER SCHOOLS
1	8	9	10	11	12
	(Col.2 through Col. 7)			(Col. 9 + Col. 10)	
1 Alpine	$46,392,845	63,746.756	5,707.883	69,454.639	69,454.639
2 Beaver	1,686,013	1,578.039	3.700	1,581.739	1,581.739
3 Box Elder	11,145,393	11,019.578	121.150	11,140.728	11,140.728
4 Cache	8,124,426	14,868.278	1,076.306	15,944.583	15,944.583
5 Carbon	8,032,583	3,477.294	397.356	3,874.650	3,874.650
6 Daggett	377,151	146.794		146.794	
7 Davis	47,109,768	65,135.000	4,201.889	69,336.889	69,336.889
8 Duchesne	8,883,621	4,380.228	11.061	4,391.289	4,391.289
9 Emery	5,828,206	2,294.028	68.156	2,362.183	2,362.183
10 Garfield	1,150,052	911.294	8.783	920.078	920.078
11 Grand	2,678,684	1,510.811	64.678	1,575.489	1,575.489
12 Granite	95,928,682	66,761.322	2,899.889	69,661.211	69,661.211
13 Iron	6,766,776	8,337.911	705.689	9,043.600	9,043.600
14 Jordan	73,020,994	48,364.261	4,439.911	52,804.172	52,804.172
15 Juab	2,924,894	2,231.850	25.911	2,257.761	2,257.761
16 Kane	2,520,097	1,181.167	4.906	1,186.072	1,186.072
17 Millard	7,211,577	2,793.661	3.000	2,796.661	2,796.661
18 Morgan	2,005,014	2,335.372	21.606	2,356.978	2,356.978
19 Nebo	19,477,460	28,188.856	3,039.972	31,228.828	31,228.828
20 No. Sanpete	2,513,503	2,311.633	6.733	2,318.367	2,318.367
21 No. Summit	2,811,782	999.872	1.294	1,001.167	1,001.167
22 Park City	23,552,713	4,583.756	15.383	4,599.139	4,599.139
23 Piute	197,148	318.639	10.967	329.606	329.606
24 Rich	1,350,412	457.383	1.000	458.383	458.383
25 San Juan	5,023,520	2,917.650	11.911	2,929.561	2,929.561
26 Sevier	3,988,933	4,512.878	12.022	4,524.900	4,524.900
27 So. Sanpete	2,617,804	2,989.717	12.000	3,001.717	3,001.717
28 So. Summit	5,780,853	1,428.072	0.506	1,428.578	1,428.578
29 Tintic	118,626	225.239	1.089	226.328	226.328
30 Tooele	7,420,583	13,147.672	719.667	13,867.339	13,867.339
31 Uintah	15,714,773	6,403.206	76.989	6,480.194	6,480.194
32 Wasatch	12,444,542	4,923.450	217.528	5,140.978	5,140.978
33 Washington	29,116,974	25,217.628	1,547.411	26,765.039	26,765.039
34 Wayne	595,553	559.700	1.006	560.706	560.706
35 Weber	22,578,293	30,244.961	1,099.378	31,344.339	31,344.339
36 Salt Lake	51,263,016	23,588.033	1,872.028	25,460.061	25,460.061
37 Ogden	13,334,416	12,426.689	891.272	13,317.961	13,317.961
38 Provo	17,423,793	13,081.928	999.006	14,080.933	14,080.933
39 Logan	8,133,410	6,088.794	620.706	6,709.500	6,709.500
40 Murray	9,505,725	6,477.239	86.950	6,564.189	6,564.189
42 Canyons	64,016,745	33,201.828	2,084.244	35,286.072	35,286.072
Total $	650,767,353	525,368.467	33,090.933	558,459.400	558,312.606

525,368.467
TOTAL STATEWIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT ADM - FY09-10

- -

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Using FY10 AF
2. Not using the K
3. In ADM, not us
4. Even though th
5. Debt Service R

NEW FUNDING FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS-FY2011-201

53A-1a-513

DISTRICT	DISTRICT PER PUPIL LOCAL REVENUE	DISTRICT (with Charter Schools) PER PUPIL LOCAL REVENUE	CHARTER SCHOOL FALL ENROLLMENT OCTOBER 1, 2010 GRADES 1-12	KINDERGARTEN	DISTRICT PER PUPIL LOCAL REVENUE CHARTER SCHOOL FALL ENROLLMENT OCTOBER 1, 2010 (Rate X Fall Enrollment)	CHARTER SCHOOL STUDENTS' AVERAGE LOCAL REVENUE
1	13	14	16	17	18	19
					Column 15 #	$1,098.79
	(Col. 8/Col. 11)				(Col. 14 X Col. 15)	
1 Alpine	667.96	$667.96	$ 6,240	$ 695	$ 4,632,295	
2 Beaver	1,065.92	1,065.92	5	0	5,330	
3 Box Elder	1,000.42	1,000.42	193	10	203,085	
4 Cache	509.54	509.54	1,138	138	650,175	
5 Carbon	2,073.11	2,073.11	437	19	945,339	
6 Daggett	2,569.25		0	0	-	
7 Davis	679.43	679.43	4443	484	3,347,566	
8 Duchesne	2,023.01	2,023.01	21	0	42,483	
9 Emery	2,467.30	2,467.30	64	4	167,776	
10 Garfield	1,249.95	1,249.95	15	0	18,749	
11 Grand	1,700.22	1,700.22	67	25	156,421	
12 Granite	1,377.07	1,377.07	3440	365	5,239,769	
13 Iron	748.24	748.24	676	64	553,697	
14 Jordan	1,382.86	1,382.86	4586	422	6,925,383	
15 Juab	1,295.48	1,295.48	12	1	16,841	
16 Kane	2,124.74	2,124.74	12	2	29,746	
17 Millard	2,578.64	2,578.64	8	1	23,208	
18 Morgan	850.67	850.67	27	1	23,819	
19 Nebo	623.70	623.70	2986	266	2,028,277	
20 No. Sanpete	1,084.17	1,084.17	19	1	21,683	
21 No. Summit	2,808.51	2,808.51	33	2	98,298	
22 Park City	5,121.11	5,121.11	370	59	2,196,958	
23 Piute	598.13	598.13	12	0	7,178	
24 Rich	2,946.03	2,946.03	10	0	29,460	
25 San Juan	1,714.77	1,714.77	14	1	25,722	
26 Sevier	881.55	881.55	26	1	23,802	
27 So. Sanpete	872.10	872.10	48	3	44,477	
28 So. Summit	4,046.58	4,046.58	29	2	125,444	
29 Tintic	524.13	524.13	8	0	4,193	
30 Tooele	535.11	535.11	703	79	418,458	
31 Uintah	2,425.05	2,425.05	71	1	174,603	
32 Wasatch	2,420.66	2,420.66	233	44	670,522	
33 Washington	1,087.87	1,087.87	1,835	226	2,242,107	
34 Wayne	1,062.15	1,062.15	3	0	3,186	
35 Weber	720.33	720.33	1,759	230	1,432,738	
36 Salt Lake	2,013.47	2,013.47	1,680	101	3,585,986	
37 Ogden	1,001.24	1,001.24	1,273	124	1,398,726	
38 Provo	1,237.40	1,237.40	1,008	114	1,388,366	
39 Logan	1,212.22	1,212.22	653	94	905,531	
40 Murray	1,448.12	1,448.12	130	6	196,944	
42 Canyons	1,814.22	1,814.22	2,048	201	4,080,184	
Total	1,165.29		$ 36,335	$ 3,786	$ 44,084,525	$ -
					$ 44,084,525	$ -

ASSUMPTIONS:

$ 1,098.79

1. Using FY10 AF
2. Not using the K
3. In ADM, not us
4. Even though th
5. Debt Service R

|2
53A-1a-513

DISTRICT	FY11-12 25% ALLOCATION FROM DISTRICT TO CHARTER SCHOOL (Lessor of Col. 17 or 18)	FY11-12 100% ALLOCATION FROM DISTRICT TO CHARTER SCHOOL (Lessor of Col. 17 or 18)	TOTAL DISTRICTS CONTRIBUTION TO LOCAL REPLACEMENT FUNDING	FY10 State Guarantee Voted Leeway Program	FY10 State Guarantee Board Leeway Program
1	20	21	22	23	24
	25%	100%			
1 Alpine	1,042,016	464,231	1,506,247	$ 5,657,031	$ 1,414,258
2 Beaver	1,332	-	1,332	63,383	-
3 Box Elder	48,270	10,004	58,274	688,923	457,544
4 Cache	144,965	70,317	215,281	2,437,042	612,926
5 Carbon	226,487	39,389	265,877	-	-
6 Daggett	-	-	-	-	-
7 Davis	754,680	328,846	1,083,526	7,404,290	1,855,388
8 Duchesne	10,621	-	10,621	-	-
9 Emery	39,477	9,869	49,346	-	-
10 Garfield	4,687	-	4,687	-	10,866
11 Grand	28,479	42,506	70,984	-	-
12 Granite	1,184,284	502,632	1,686,916	-	1,250,095
13 Iron	126,452	47,887	174,340	-	-
14 Jordan	1,585,454	583,569	2,169,022	4,962,887	1,240,721
15 Juab	3,886	1,295	5,182	-	-
16 Kane	6,374	4,249	10,624	-	-
17 Millard	5,157	2,579	7,736	-	-
18 Morgan	5,742	851	6,593	-	-
19 Nebo	465,593	165,905	631,498	7,346,220	1,229,592
20 No. Sanpete	5,150	1,084	6,234	319,409	80,020
21 No. Summit	23,170	5,617	28,787	-	-
22 Park City	473,703	302,146	775,849	-	-
23 Piute	1,794	-	1,794	34,046	34,046
24 Rich	7,365	-	7,365	-	-
25 San Juan	6,002	1,715	7,716	632,503	158,125
26 Sevier	5,730	882	6,612	185,365	123,576
27 So. Sanpete	10,465	2,616	13,082	994,781	248,547
28 So. Summit	29,338	8,093	37,431	-	-
29 Tintic	1,048	-	1,048	260,958	65,498
30 Tooele	94,046	42,274	136,320	794,932	526,445
31 Uintah	43,045	2,425	45,470	-	-
32 Wasatch	141,003	106,509	247,512	-	-
33 Washington	499,062	245,859	744,921	-	-
34 Wayne	797	-	797	-	18,371
35 Weber	316,765	165,676	482,442	2,815,964	918,062
36 Salt Lake	845,657	203,360	1,049,017	-	-
37 Ogden	318,643	124,153	442,796	949,875	307,062
38 Provo	311,826	141,064	452,890	-	607,663
39 Logan	197,895	113,949	311,844	-	-
40 Murray	47,064	8,689	55,753	-	-
42 Canyons	928,881	364,658	1,293,540	-	-
Total	**9,992,407**	**4,114,898**	**14,107,305**	$ **35,547,609**	**11,158,805**

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Using FY10 AF
2. Not using the k
3. In ADM, not us
4. Even though th
5. Debt Service R

53A-1a-513

DISTRICT 1	FY10 Capital Outlay Foundation Program	FY 10 Capital Outlay Enrollment Growth Program	FY10 Debt Service Revenue	FY09-10 ADM School Districts	Additional Appropriation Per Student
	25	26	27	28	$ 719.58
1 Alpine	$ 641,325	$ 1,035,094	$ 45,789,401	63,746.756	
2 Beaver	100,000	4,529	1,353,907	1,578.039	
3 Box Elder	2,804,237	45,671	6,764,107	11,019.578	
4 Cache	1,133,559	149,848	8,856,926	14,868.278	
5 Carbon	100,000	-	1,690,926	3,477.294	
6 Daggett	50,000	-	153,075	146.794	
7 Davis	200,000	315,674	45,363,002	65,135.000	
8 Duchesne	200,000	57,121	1,062,837	4,380.228	
9 Emery	50,000	-	-	2,294.028	
10 Garfield	100,000	-	1,186,350	911.294	
11 Grand	100,000	3,271	3,223,401	1,510.811	
12 Granite	200,000	-	-	66,761.322	
13 Iron	100,000	-	8,079,152	8,337.911	
14 Jordan	200,000	388,270	18,305,765	48,364.261	
15 Juab	200,000	21,766	1,756,846	2,231.850	
16 Kane	50,000	-	853,747	1,181.167	
17 Millard	100,000	-	-	2,793.661	
18 Morgan	100,000	32,083	1,919,998	2,335.372	
19 Nebo	6,546,984	320,581	23,342,929	28,188.856	
20 No. Sanpete	200,000	-	529,852	2,311.633	
21 No. Summit	50,000	-	874,469	999.872	
22 Park City	-	-	6,481,121	4,583.756	
23 Piute	200,000	2,265	627	318.639	
24 Rich	50,000	-	410,179	457.383	
25 San Juan	200,000	9,310	-	2,917.650	
26 Sevier	200,000	18,369	1,989,445	4,512.878	
27 So. Sanpete	1,059,357	17,740	1,153,315	2,989.717	
28 So. Summit	50,000	-	10,145	1,428.072	
29 Tintic	200,000	-	130,037	225.239	
30 Tooele	2,933,338	84,675	13,145,825	13,147.672	
31 Uintah	100,000	88,323	3,734,476	6,403.206	
32 Wasatch	50,000	-	6,457,032	4,923.450	
33 Washington	100,000	106,944	32,999,653	25,217.628	
34 Wayne	100,000	3,775	-	559.700	
35 Weber	200,000	155,635	11,789,521	30,244.961	
36 Salt Lake	100,000	-	16,491,142	23,588.033	
37 Ogden	200,000	11,324	7,041,086	12,426.689	
38 Provo	200,000	-	6,432,102	13,081.928	
39 Logan	200,000	38,122	1,876,941	6,088.794	
40 Murray	100,000	20,510	2,309,057	6,477.239	
42 Canyons	100,000	-	25,279,390	33,201.828	
Total	19,568,800	2,930,900	308,837,784	525,368.467	

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Using FY10 AF

2. Not using the K

3. In ADM, not us

4. Even though th

5. Debt Service R

53A-1a-513

DISTRICT	ACTUAL FY11 ENROLLMENT CHARTER SCHOOLS	Additional Appropriation	IN LIEU OF LOCAL REPLACEMENT FUNDS (LRF)	FUNDS CONTRIBUTED BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS LRF	AMOUNT NEEDED BY LEGISLATURE
1					
1 Alpine	40,060	$ 28,826,318	$44,017,499	14,107,305	29,910,194
2 Beaver					
3 Box Elder					
4 Cache					
5 Carbon					
6 Daggett					
7 Davis					
8 Duchesne					
9 Emery					
10 Garfield					
11 Grand					
12 Granite					
13 Iron					
14 Jordan					
15 Juab					
16 Kane					
17 Millard					
18 Morgan					
19 Nebo					
20 No. Sanpete					
21 No. Summit					
22 Park City					
23 Piute					
24 Rich					
25 San Juan					
26 Sevier					
27 So. Sanpete					
28 So. Summit					
29 Tintic					
30 Tooele					
31 Uintah					
32 Wasatch					
33 Washington					
34 Wayne					
35 Weber					
36 Salt Lake					
37 Ogden					
38 Provo					
39 Logan					
40 Murray					
42 Canyons					
Total					

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Using FY10 AF
2. Not using the K
3. In ADM, not us
4. Even though th
5. Debt Service R